



**Here are some questions concerning the Report of the Task Force on the
Role of the State Bar of Michigan.**

1. What does the Keller compliance issue have to do with changing the SBM rules concerning the structure of the RA?
2. Other than suggestions, why should the RA have mandatory input into the meeting agendas of the BOC, and vice versa?
3. Will the super majority new Keller compliance review committee motivate present SBM activity, including extensive Section activity, if not Sections themselves, to operate outside of the SBM?
4. Why is the timeline for considering serious changes to the structure of the RA and BOC rules on such an extremely fast track?
5. Can the SBM resolve the Keller situation without rearranging its RA and BOC governance rules, and, if so, why not?

Your cooperation and courtesy are appreciated. If there are questions, please advise.

Marie Walker, President
GTLA Bar