

**From:** Dan Jakeway <jakewayd@gmail.com>  
**To:** Court Forms Info <CourtFormsInfo@courts.mi.gov>  
**Date:** 7/21/2009 1:55 PM  
**Subject:** PCM 211 Rev. 2009

To Whom It May Concern,

A probate judge has recommended including the name of the hospital on PCM 211 "Notice of Hospitalization and Certificate of Service." I support this modification. It should be noted, however, that if an application for hospitalization is properly filed with Notice of Hospitalization this information is redundant in the file. The addition of the name of the Hospital on Notice of Hospitalization should not preclude the requirement of naming the hospital on PCM 201 "Petition/Application for Hospitalization."

Language should be added that indicates that Notice of Hospitalization is not properly executed without the pre-requisite "Petition/Application" and the two clinical certificates both being present and having been executed in the proper chronological order according to the Mental Health Code (if a petition, the petitioner cannot have petitioned without first either signing an affidavit explaining why clinical certificates were not first executed, or the clinical certificates were already executed at date and time of petitioning, this requirement is often ignored)

I would also like for there to be a line added verifying service to the patient of a blank PCM 235 "Request to Defer Hearing on Commitment"

Lastly, it would make far more sense to allow the patient to sign each line individually verifying that she or he has been served the named form or document, rather than the process server (usually the Recipient Rights Advisor) whom could sign elsewhere. The patient should be allowed to sign Item 5 and enter in her/himself who they would like to be served papers.

--

Dan Jakeway  
Electrical Engineer  
jakewayd@gmail.com