

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

DOMESTIC UNIFORM RENTAL,

Plaintiff,

v

Case No. 2014-144690-CZ  
Hon. Wendy Potts

MARKHAM PLACE, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

---

OPINION AND ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

At a session of Court  
Held in Pontiac, Michigan  
On

APR 14 2016

The matter is before the Court on Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration of this Court's March 2, 2016 Judgment and Order Confirming Arbitration Award. The Court dispenses with oral argument pursuant to MCR 2.119(F)(2).

This Court entered a Judgment and Order Confirming Arbitration Award on March 2, 2016. Defendants subsequently filed a motion for reconsideration, and the Court gave Plaintiff an opportunity to file a response to Defendants' motion. On April 6, 2016, Plaintiff filed its response in opposition to Defendants' motion for reconsideration.

Defendants' request the Court grant their motion for reconsideration and schedule an evidentiary hearing to determine whether an agreement to arbitrate exists. MCR 2.119(F) governs Motions for Rehearing or Reconsideration.

MCR 2.119(F)(3) provides, in relevant part:


[A] motion for rehearing or reconsideration which merely presents the same issues ruled on by the court, either expressly or by reasonable implication, will not be granted. The moving party must demonstrate a palpable error by which the court and the parties have been misled and show that a different disposition of the motion must result from correction of the error.

The Court finds that Defendants' motion for reconsideration merely presents the same issues that were already ruled on by this Court in confirming the arbitration award. Defendants have failed to demonstrate a palpable error and show that a different disposition of its motion must result from correction of the error. Accordingly, Defendants' motion is denied.

Dated:

APR 14 2016

IT IS SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Wendy Potts