

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

PROGRESSIVE MICHIGAN INSURANCE,

Plaintiff,

v

Case No. 14-144510-CK  
Hon. Wendy Potts

GEICO INDEMNITY COMPANY,

Defendant.

---

OPINION AND ORDER RE: BUSINESS COURT JURISDICTION

At a session of Court  
Held in Pontiac, Michigan

On

~~JAN 26 2015~~

Plaintiff Progressive Michigan Insurance filed this complaint alleging that Defendant GEICO Indemnity Company is obligated to pay no-fault personal injury protection benefits for Thomas Hirsch. Plaintiff states on a Notice of Assignment to Business Court that this case meets the statutory criteria to be assigned to the Business Court because all of the parties are business enterprises.

This Court has an obligation to question sua sponte its jurisdiction over the subject matter of an action. *Yee v Shiawassee Co Bd of Comm'rs*, 251 Mich App 379, 399; 651 NW2d 756 (2002). Subject matter jurisdiction is determined by the allegations in the pleadings. *Trost v Buckstop Lure Co, Inc*, 249 Mich App 580, 587-588; 644 NW2d 54 (2002).


Business Court jurisdiction is limited to actions involving a “business or commercial dispute.” MCL 600.8035(3). The statute has four categories of business or commercial disputes including “[a]n action in which all of the parties are business enterprises.” See MCL

600.8031(1)(c)(i). Although both parties in this case fall within the definition of a business enterprise under MCL 600.8031(1)(b), the statute excludes certain types of disputes from Business Court jurisdiction. Pertinent to this case, insurance coverage disputes are excluded if the insured is an individual consumer. MCL 600.8031(3)(m).

Because this dispute involves questions of insurance coverage for an individual consumer, it is excluded from Business Court jurisdiction and the Court orders the case reassigned to the general civil docket.

Dated: JAN 26 2015

IT IS SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Wendy Potts