

PROBATE & ESTATE PLANNING SECTION
Respectfully submits the following position on:

*

ADM File No. 2014-09

*

The Probate & Estate Planning Section is not the State Bar of Michigan itself, but rather a Section which members of the State Bar choose voluntarily to join, based on common professional interest.

The position expressed is that of the Probate & Estate Planning Section only and is not the position of the State Bar of Michigan.

The State Bar position on this matter is to take no position on the proposed amendments to MCR 7.215(A) and MCR 7.215(B); to oppose the proposed amendments to MCR 7.215(C) for the reasons stated in Justice Markman's dissent; and to authorize Sections and Committees to transmit non-conflicting positions to the Court.

The total membership of the Probate & Estate Planning Section is 3,769.

The position was adopted after discussion and vote at a scheduled meeting. The number of members in the decision-making body is 23. The number who voted in favor to this position was 19. The number who voted opposed to this position was 0.

Report on Public Policy Position**Name of section:**

Probate & Estate Planning Section

Contact person:

Marlaine C. Teahan

E-Mail:mteahan@fraserlawfirm.com**Proposed Court Rule or Administrative Order Number:**[2014-09 - Proposed Amendment of MCR 7.215](#)

The proposed amendments of MCR 7.215(A)-(C) were submitted by the Court of Appeals. Proposed MCR 7.215(A) would clarify the term “unpublished” as used in the rule. The proposed amendment of MCR 7.215(B) would provide more specific guidance for Court of Appeals judges regarding when an opinion should be published. Finally, in response to what the Court of Appeals describes as an increased reliance by parties on unpublished opinions, the proposed revision of MCR 7.215(C) would explicitly note that citation of unpublished opinions is disfavored unless an unpublished decision directly relates to the case currently on appeal and published authority is insufficient to address the issue on appeal.

Date position was adopted:

March 14, 2015

Process used to take the ideological position:

Position adopted after discussion and vote at a scheduled meeting.

Number of members in the decision-making body:

23

Number who voted in favor and opposed to the position:

19 Voted for position

0 Voted against position

0 Abstained from vote

4 Did not vote (absent)

Position:

Oppose

Explanation of the position, including any recommended amendments:

The Section opposes amendment of MCR 7.215(C), as proposed in ADM 2014-09, and agrees with Justice Markman's dissent on this proposed rule change.

The text of any legislation, court rule, or administrative regulation that is the subject of or referenced in this report.

http://courts.mi.gov/Courts/MichiganSupremeCourt/rules/court-rules-admin-matters/Adopted/2014-09_2015-02-18_formatted%20order_with%20SJM%20stmt%20with%20RC.pdf