

From: Robert Dajnowicz <rdanzs@gmail.com>
To: <admcomment@courts.mi.gov>
Date: 8/4/2014 4:39 PM
Subject: Comment: In Regard to the Task Force's report, the letters to the editor in the Michigan Bar Journal, State Bar President Brian D. Einhorn's comments, including his July, 2014 column, entitled "A Swan Song", published within the July 2014 issue

In Regard to the Task Force's report, the letters to the editor in the Michigan Bar Journal, State Bar President Brian D. Einhorn's comments, including his July, 2014 column, entitled "A Swan Song", published within the July 2014 issue.

The elimination of issue ad's and full disclosure in Judicial Elections disregards the wisdom of history. People who hold public power should not be allowed to act within the shadow of darkness. Elections cannot be fairly tolerated within a democratic system when those who stand behind a candidate remain discreetly veiled. Great nations succumb to tyranny without sunlight exposing the varied movements of its quietly aggressive, gainfully ambitious operators. Our fruitful Democratic system requires a supportive Public, a Public which holds confidence in "the" system. The loss of earnest hope and belief by the Public at large eventually betrays all. The Bar must continue as it has, finding fault as it sees it, exposing clear misguided conduct and advocating for the Public Interest as it has since 1935. The clean, clear openly indissoluble administration of Justice must stand preeminent.

The Task force creates a standard with its "strict intent" which advances beyond that contemplated by Keller. The power to exclude, to intellectually pare down that which the US Supreme Court requires, alters the broader meaning, the intent of the opinion. An intellectual incision, a narrowing shorthand excludes, and diminishes the force of meaning. We observe then the product of cosmetic surgery, a picture which suits the fashion of the surgeon and allows the deception of the unwary. Further, a less than frank Task Force disregards the requisite of defining "strict interpretation," advancing a theoretical narrowing which no other Bar /Association in our Nation has adopted--creating out of whole cloth an inventive interpretation. This narrow framing denies history, limits the long defined purpose of Bar Associations in their effort of protecting the public, in lessening the chance for covert behavior which by its opportunity impairs fair justice

Bar Membership supports the community of professional advocates, a profession deemed of utmost value by Nations and by their citizenry since the advent of complex societies. The organized Bar permits within its valued trusted powers the enforcement of the fair and ethical practice of Law.

We decided during a continued and extended debate during the time of the forming of our Nation who we as a people should become, who we must over time strive to continue to be: Political views, whether overt or covert, should within the administration of Law be eschewed.

I thank you for consideration,

Robert Dajnowicz