

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT

NOTICE OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

Pursuant to Administrative Order No. 1997-11, the Michigan Supreme Court will hold a public administrative hearing on Wednesday, September 14, 2016, in the Supreme Court courtroom located on the sixth floor of the Michigan Hall of Justice, 925 W. Ottawa Street, Lansing, Michigan 48915. The hearing will begin promptly at 9:30 a.m. and adjourn no later than 11:30 a.m. Persons who wish to address the Court regarding matters on the agenda will be allotted three minutes each to present their views, after which the speakers may be questioned by the Justices. To reserve a place on the agenda, please notify the Office of Administrative Counsel in writing at P.O. Box 30052, Lansing, Michigan 48909, or by e-mail at ADMcomment@courts.mi.gov, no later than Monday, September 12, 2016.

The administrative matters on the agenda for this hearing are:

1. 2013-39 Proposed Amendment of Rule 6.112 of the Michigan Court Rules.
Published at 499 Mich 1220-1221 (Part 2, 2016).
Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendments of MCR 6.112 that would provide clarification to the procedure for amending a notice of intent to seek an enhanced sentence by requiring the amendment to be approved by the court, and would eliminate the provision that makes the harmless-error standard inapplicable when a notice of intent to seek an enhanced sentence is not timely filed.*
2. 2013-18 Proposed Amendments of Rules 2.004, 3.705, 3.708, 3.804, 3.904, 4.101, 4.201, 4.202, 4.304, 4.401, 5.119, 5.140, 5.402, 5.404, 5.738a, 6.006, and 6.901 of the Michigan Court Rules.

Published at 499 Mich 1207-1218 (Part 1, 2016).

Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed amendments of MCR 2.004, 3.705, 3.708, 3.804, 3.904, 4.101, 4.201, 4.202, 4.304, 4.401, 5.119, 5.140, 5.402, 5.404, 5.738a, 6.006, and 6.901 that would permit courts to expand the use of videoconferencing technology in many court proceedings.*

3. 2014-03

Proposed Administrative Order No. 2016-X.

Published at 499 Mich 1218-1220 (Part 1, 2016).

Issue: *Whether to adopt the proposed administrative order that would provide a clearer and simplified antinepotism policy to be used by the courts in Michigan.*