

Prosecuting Attorneys Association of Michigan

116 W. Ottawa Street ~ Suite 200
Lansing, Michigan 48913
(517) 334-6060 ~ FAX: 334-6351
www.michiganprosecutor.org

June 17, 2014

Mr. Larry Royster
Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court
PO Box 30052
Lansing, MI 48909

RE: ADM File No. 2010-32
Proposed Amendment to MCR 3.210

Dear Mr. Royster,

On April 30, 2014 we filed a letter on behalf of the Prosecuting Attorneys Association of Michigan opposing this proposal as written. We noted support for an alternative version as suggested by the Kent county and Ingham county Prosecuting Attorneys.

Since that time we have been asked to review the language proposed by Erin Frisch, the Director of the Office of Child Support. We have reviewed her proposal from the following link, and support this language.

http://courts.mi.gov/Courts/MichiganSupremeCourt/rules/court-rules-admin-matters/Comments%20new%202013/2010-32_2014-05-09_Erin%20P%20Frisch-ltr_Attachment.pdf

While this language addresses our concerns with the default rule changes, it does not address our concerns with the proposal that all consent judgments would have to be reviewed at a hearing. We would request that this problem be addressed by limiting consent judgment review hearings to cases that require testimony in open court: divorce, annulment and separate maintenance.

Thank you for your consideration.



K.C. Steckelberg
Director of Public Affairs

Cc: PAAM officers
Steve Capps
Erin Frisch